Note: In this problem set, expressions in green cells match corresponding expressions in the text answers.

## Clear["Global`\*"]

5. CAS problem. Partial sums.

In example 1 in the text verify the values of  $A_0$ ,  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$ ,  $A_3$  and compute  $A_4$ ,  $\cdots$ ,  $A_{10}$ . Try to find out graphically how well the corresponding partial sums of (23) approximate the given boundary function.

The text gives the helpful info that M=n/2 for even n; M= $\frac{(n-1)}{2}$  for odd n.

An = 
$$\frac{55 (2 n + 1)}{2^{n}} \operatorname{Sum} \left[ (-1)^{m} \frac{(2 n - 2 m)!}{m! (n - m)! (n - 2 m + 1)!}, \{m, 0, M\} \right];$$
  
An0 =  $\frac{55 (2 n + 1)}{2^{n}} \operatorname{Sum} \left[ (-1)^{m} \frac{(2 n - 2 m)!}{m! (n - m)! (n - 2 m + 1)!}, \{m, 0, 0\} \right] / . n \to 0$ 

An1 = 
$$\frac{55 (2 n + 1)}{2^n}$$
 Sum  $\left[ (-1)^m \frac{(2 n - 2 m)!}{m! (n - m)! (n - 2 m + 1)!}, \{m, 0, 0\} \right] / . n \rightarrow 1$ 

2

$$An2 = \frac{55 (2 n + 1)}{2^{n}} Sum \left[ (-1)^{m} \frac{(2 n - 2 m)!}{m! (n - m)! (n - 2 m + 1)!}, \{m, 0, 1\} \right] / . n \rightarrow 2$$

0

An3 = 
$$\frac{55 (2 n + 1)}{2^n}$$
 Sum  $\left[ (-1)^m \frac{(2 n - 2 m)!}{m! (n - m)! (n - 2 m + 1)!}, \{m, 0, 1\} \right] / . n \rightarrow 3$ 

An4 = 
$$\frac{55 (2 n + 1)}{2^n}$$
 Sum  $\left[ (-1)^m \frac{(2 n - 2 m)!}{m! (n - m)! (n - 2 m + 1)!}, \{m, 0, 2\} \right] / . n \rightarrow 4$ 

0

An5 = 
$$\frac{55 (2 n + 1)}{2^n}$$
 Sum  $\left[ (-1)^m \frac{(2 n - 2 m)!}{m! (n - m)! (n - 2 m + 1)!}, \{m, 0, 2\} \right] / . n \rightarrow 5$ 

605

16

$$An6 = \frac{55 (2 n + 1)}{2^{n}} Sum \left[ (-1)^{m} \frac{(2 n - 2 m)!}{m! (n - m)! (n - 2 m + 1)!}, \{m, 0, 3\} \right] /. n \to 6$$

$$0$$

$$An7 = \frac{55 (2 n + 1)}{2^{n}} Sum \left[ (-1)^{m} \frac{(2 n - 2 m)!}{m! (n - m)! (n - 2 m + 1)!}, \{m, 0, 3\} \right] /. n \to 7$$

$$-\frac{4125}{128}$$

$$An8 = \frac{55 (2 n + 1)}{2^{n}} Sum \left[ (-1)^{m} \frac{(2 n - 2 m)!}{m! (n - m)! (n - 2 m + 1)!}, \{m, 0, 4\} \right] /. n \to 8$$

$$0$$

$$An9 = \frac{55 (2 n + 1)}{2^{n}} Sum \left[ (-1)^{m} \frac{(2 n - 2 m)!}{m! (n - m)! (n - 2 m + 1)!}, \{m, 0, 4\} \right] /. n \to 9$$

$$\frac{7315}{256}$$

$$An10 = \frac{55 (2 n + 1)}{2^{n}} Sum \left[ (-1)^{m} \frac{(2 n - 2 m)!}{m! (n - m)! (n - 2 m + 1)!}, \{m, 0, 5\} \right] /. n \to 10$$

$$0$$

The green cells above match the text answer.

The boundary function is:

$$f[\phi_{-}] = \text{Piecewise}\left[\left\{\left\{110, \ 0 \le \phi < \frac{\pi}{2}\right\}, \ \left\{0, \ \frac{\pi}{2} < \phi \le \pi\right\}\right\}\right]$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} 110 & 0 \le \phi < \frac{\pi}{2} \\ 0 & \text{True} \end{bmatrix}$$

An9=orange; An7=green; An5=red; An3=purple; An1=brown;  $f(\phi)$ =teal

8 - 15 Potentials Depending only on **r** 

9. Spherical symmetry. Show that the only solution of Laplace's equation depending on  $r = \sqrt{(x^2) + y^2 + z^2}$  is  $u = \frac{c}{r} + k$  with constant c and k.

The s.m. solves this problem in spherical coordinates. Differentiation is applied, and perhaps the key discovery is a form equivalent to an Euler-Cauchy equation, numbered line (1) on p. 71. Equivalence to the proposed equation is established, but I did not notice arguments advanced for exclusivity. Maybe I missed some implied references.

13. Dirichlet problem. Find the electrostatic potential between two concentric spheres of radii  $r_1 = 2$  cm and  $r_2 = 4$  cm kept at the potentials  $U_1 = 220$  V and  $U_2 = 140$  V, respectively. Sketch and compare the equipotential lines in problems 12 and 13.

```
Clear["Global`*"]
```

This probem is covered in the s.m. The s.m. notes that a spherically symmetric solution of the 3D Laplace equation is

 $u = u[r] = \frac{c}{r} + k$  where c, k are constants, as has just been shown in problem 9.

The constants can be determined by the two boundary conditions u(2)=220 and u(4)=140.

Solve 
$$\left[\frac{c}{2} + k = 220 \&\& \frac{c}{2} + 2k = 280, \{c, k\}\right]$$
  
{{c \rightarrow 320, k \rightarrow 60}}

making the solution

$$u[r] = \frac{320}{r} + 60;$$

Clear["Global`\*"]

The green cell above matches the text answer. As for the sketch, an attempt is made below:

```
scalarField2 = x^2 + y^2 + z^2;
 scalarField4 = x^2 + y^2 + z^2;
vectorField = D[scalarField2, {{x, y, z}}]
 \{2x, 2y, 2z\}
c2 = ContourPlot3D[scalarField2 = 2, {x, -2, 2}, {y, -2, 2},
                 \{z, -2, 2\}, Mesh \rightarrow None, ContourStyle \rightarrow Opacity[1.0, Green]];
c3 = ContourPlot3D[scalarField4 == 4, {x, -2, 0}, {y, -2, 2},
                 \{z, -2, 2\}, Mesh \rightarrow None, ContourStyle \rightarrow Opacity[0.3, Red]];
v = VectorPlot3D[vectorField, {x, -2, 2}, {y, -2, 2}, {z, -2, 2}
                VectorPoints \rightarrow 15, VectorScale \rightarrow {0.1, Scaled[0.6]},
                 RegionFunction \rightarrow Function [{x, y, z}, 2.3 \leq scalarField2 \leq 3.5]];
Show[
      c2,
     с3,
      v]
                                                                                                                                                                                              2
                                                                                                                                                                                             0
                                                                                                                                                                                             -1
                                                                                                                                                                                              -2
```

The above plot is an attempt to make something that looks right, though it is not, really. I first restricted the domain of scalarfield2 to [-2,0], just like scalarfield4, so I could check to

0

-1

see where the normal arrows were emerging from (I wanted them to emerge from the surface of scalarfield2.) Using the value 2.3 for region function min had the roots of the arrows just barely showing through the skin of scalarfield2 (but it was totally a visual judg-ment.) Likewise, using 3.5 for region function max seemed to bring the arrows to the surface of scalarfield4, or close to it. It would be interesting to know the actual commands to use to get a realistic plot.

16 -20 Boundary Value Problems in Spherical Coordinates **r**,  $\theta$ ,  $\phi$ Find the potential in the interior of the sphere r = R = 1 if the interior is free of charges and the potential on the sphere is

17.  $f(\phi) = 1$ 

## Clear["Global`\*"]

Since problem 19 is covered in the s.m., it was worked first. As in that problem, I suppose that the formula from numbered line (16a) on p. 596 is needed,  $u_n[r_-, \phi_-] = A_n r^n P_n \cos[\phi]$ 

Only the zeroth coefficient and the zeroth term of the Legendre polynomial is needed, I guess:

## LegendreP[0, 0, x]

1

The  $A_n$  coefficient, I believe, is 1. So the answer should be:

```
u[r_{,\phi_{]} = 1 r^{0} 1 LegendreP[0, 0, \phi]
```

1

The above answer matches the answer in the text. I hope I did not put in too many 1s.

19.  $f(\phi) = \cos[2 \phi]$ 

## Clear["Global`\*"]

This problem is covered in the s.m., and I don't see a way for Mathematica to reduce the solution path. The narrative starts out with a reference to Fourier-Legendre series,

 $a_0 P_0 + a_1 P_1 + a_2 P_2 + \cdots$  where  $P_0, P_1,$ 

P<sub>2</sub> are Legendre polynomials. The s.m. wants to use the

substitution  $w = Cos[\phi]$ . In order to use Legendre polynomials, which involve powers of w, it will be necessary to transform the starting function  $Cos[2 \phi]$  into powers of  $Cos[\phi]$ . The s.m. pulls a trig identity from numbered line (10) of p. A-64,

 $\cos^2 x = \frac{1}{2} (1 + \cos 2x)$ , leading to  $\cos [2 \theta] = 2 \cos [\theta]^2 - 1 = 2 w^2 - 1$ 

With regard to Legendre polynomials, the s.m. notes that:

LegendreP[0, 0, x]
1
LegendreP[1, 0, x]
x

```
LegendreP[2, 0, x]
\frac{1}{2} \left(-1 + 3 x^{2}\right)
```

The s.m. states that, based on  $2w^2 - 1$ , the Legendre polynomial powers of 0 and 2 will be needed (power 1 dropped). Introducing working coefficients A and B,

```
2w^2 - 1 = A \text{LegendreP}[0, 0, w] + B \text{LegendreP}[2, 0, w]
```

$$-1 + 2 w^{2} = A + \frac{1}{2} B (-1 + 3 w^{2})$$

Expand[%]

```
-1 + 2 w^{2} = A - \frac{B}{2} + \frac{3 B w^{2}}{2}
Solve \left[\frac{3 B}{2} = 2, B\right]
\left\{\left\{B \rightarrow \frac{4}{3}\right\}\right\}
Solve \left[-1 = A - \frac{B}{2}, \{A\}\right]
\left\{\left\{A \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}(-2 + B)\right\}\right\}
% /. B \rightarrow \frac{4}{3}
\left\{\left\{A \rightarrow -\frac{1}{3}\right\}\right\}
```

Folding A and B into the cyan cell above,

$$2w^{2} - 1 = -\frac{1}{3}$$
LegendreP[0, 0, w] +  $\frac{4}{3}$ LegendreP[2, 0, w];

The s.m. notes that numbered line (16a) on p. 596 is needed,  $u_n[r_-, \phi_-] = A_n r^n P_n \cos[\phi]$ 

As for what the yellow cell represents, it is the zeroth term and the 2nd term of the series

just introduced, the first term having dropped out as noted above. Putting it in terms of  $u(r,\phi)$ ,

$$u[r_{,\phi_{]}} = -\frac{1}{3}P_{0}\cos[\phi] + \frac{4}{3}r^{2}P_{2}\cos[\phi];$$

The above cell matches the answer in the s.m., with the notation  $P_n$  replacing the Mathematica **LegendreP** notation, and the value of  $P_0$ , which is 1, not yet substituted. As for the text answer, the term  $-\frac{1}{3} P_0 \cos [\phi]$  is given as simply  $-\frac{1}{3}$ , and so does not agree in this case with the s.m.